Previous references.

File No. 209-10

190

Serial No. 3

(84a)

Later references.

A & a 72-19 ad 1

C & 170-37-1-2—

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial No. 3.

Part B. for September 1910.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the scale of Conveyance allowance which officers are entitled under Art 540 M.S.R. to take by rail at Govt expense under certain conditions.

Slip no 29 D 30. 9. 10.

Issues a slip revising Art. 540 M.S.R.

G.R.S. 11] 1910

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Sta.)

NOTES.

File No. 209-10

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the Scale of Conveyance which officers are entitled to take by rail at Govt cost, under certain conditions

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-9-11

S 4a 209-10

Note

110

6489
4-6-10

The recent order of Government desiring officers of Government invited as guests to the wedding of His Highness the Yuvaraj to make arrangements to transport to Mysore for their use their own conveyances not exceeding the scale laid down in Article 540 Mysore Service Regulations has drawn particular attention to that rule and especially to the scale prescribed in that article.

2. A cursory examination of the scale is sufficient to show that it is extremely defective.

3. In clause (2) certain officers are mentioned as entitled to a carriage and two horses. Besides the officers specifically mentioned no other officers appear to have been declared as entitled to that scale. Of the officers mentioned in that clause, Deputy Conservators of Forests are assistants to the Deputy Commissioner in the Forest Department and draw a pay of 400, 500 or 600 Rs. It is not clear why they should be entitled to a carriage and two horses while a Senior Assistant Commissioner, for instance, whose

pay ranges from 500 to 600, can only tak riding horse under clause (4).

4. The omissions in this clause and clause (3) are even more noteworthy, as for instance the following:-

1) A Secretary to Government who is not also the head of a department;

(a) the Military Secretary;
(b) the Huzur Secretary;

2) the Deputy Chief Engineer;

3) the Superintending Engineer

4) the Superintendent of the Bangalore Jail;

5) the Muzrai Superintendent;

6) the Census Superintendent;

7) the Registrar of Co-operative Societies;

8) the Superintendent, Government Gardens;

9) the Superintendent, Government Press &c.

5. Under the existing scale the officers named above apparently come under clause (4) of the rule and are entitled to a riding horse or a motor car.

6. Of the officers named in clause (3), the appointment of Assistant Private Secretary

to His Highness is no longer in existence

7. and Mr. Aga Jan is no more. Clause (4) is also anomalous to the height of absurdity.

The officers not named in the preceding clauses are entitled to take a"riding horse" or "a motor car". It is not clear why officers like heads of departments, Deputy Commissioners, Judges of the Chief Court &c are not entitled to take motor cars by rail, and why the concession should be shown to other officers, apparently lower in the official hierarchy.

8. All these make it clear that the scale requires correction and revision to suit present conditions.

9. I would suggest that the marginally noted officers may be added to clause 2.

1. A Secretary to Govt. who is not also the head of a department;
   a. the Military Secretary;
   b. the Huzur Secretary;
2. the Deputy Chief Engineer;
3. the Superintending Engineer
4. the Superintendent of the Bangalore Jail;
5. the Muzrai Superintendent;
6. the Census Superintendent;
7. the Registrar of Co-operative Societies;
8. the Superintendent, Govt. Gardens;
9. the Superintendent, Govt. Press &c.
10. Dy. Secretaries, Commissioners

Deputy Conservators of Forests may be removed to clause 3

In clause 3, the Assistant Private Secretary to His Highness and Mr. Aga Jan may be omitted and the following added. (So to be in the margin)

Senior Assistant Commissioners may also be added in this clause.

✓ Clause 4 may be amended by the omission of the words "or a motor car.

10. It is apparently intended that a motor car should be allowed to be taken by an officer in lieu of the scale of horses and carriages laid down in the preceding clauses this may be made clear.

11. The whole article as amended in the manner proposed above will run as follows:-

"540. Subject to the general or special sanction of his official superior, an officer, having to travel by railway over a distance of not less than 20 miles in a single journey and sending the conveyance required for his use at the end of such journey, shall be entitled, in addition to his ordinary allowance under Article 544, to the actual expenses of sending such conveyance.

" Conveyance" in this Article shall mean carriages, Motor Cars and horses not exceeding the scale given below:-

(1) In the case of a Judge of the Chief Court or Head of a Department, a carriage and four horses.

(2) In the case of Secretaries to Government who are not also heads of departments, the Military Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja, the Huzur Secretary, District & Sessions Judges, Deputy Commissioners, Civil Surgeons, the Superintendent Bangalore Jail, Executive Engineers, the Deputy Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, the Deputy Superintendent of Survey (subject to Note 1.) or other specified officers a carriage and two horses.

(3) In the case of the Superintendent Amrit Mahal Department, the Muzrai Superintendent, the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, the Census Superintendent, the Superintendent Government Gardens, the Superintendent Government Press, Senior Assistant Commissioners, Deputy Conservators of Forests, the Dy Sanr & Commr or other specified officers a carriage and one horse.

(4) In the case of others a riding horse.

6

(5) In all the above cases a motor car may be substituted for the conveyances named in each clause, and the cost of carrying a bicycle may be allowed in addition in all cases.

Note 1.- An officer on receipt of a permanent monthly travelling allowance is not entitled to the privilege of this article.

Note 2.- The sanction of the official superior under this article shall be given only in the interests of the Public Service and when given should be at once communicated to the Government in the Department concerned with reasons therefor.

Dewan

CBB
3/6/40

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sel a File No. 20 S of 1910

Serial No.

12. The above note of the Offg Genl Secy. Secy. makes it quite clear that there are many anomalies in the scale of conveyances now laid down in Art. 540 N.S.R. and that the scale requires revision. It seems desirable however to ~~do~~ classify the officers for the different scales according to their pay and standing instead of ~~to~~ attempting to make an elaborate list. On the other hand the ~~Heads~~ names of the Heads of Depts who are entitled to a carriage and four horses may in order to prevent misapprehension be given in the Article.

13. The article may read as follows:-

540. Subject to the general or special sanction of his official superior, an officer, having to travel by railway over a distance of not less than 20 miles in a single journey and sending the conveyance required for his use at the end of such journey, shall be entitled, in addition to his admiry allowance under Article 544, to the actual expenses of sending such conveyance.

~~by railway~~ ~~by railway~~

Conveyance" in this article shall mean carriage, motorcars, horses and bicycles not exceeding the scale given below:-

(1) A carriage and four horses in the case of the following officers.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

A Judge of the Chief Court
The Revenue Commissioner
The Inspector General of Police
The Excise Comr and
The Insp. Genl of Education
The Chief Engineer
The Chief Electrical Engineer
The Senior Surgeon.
The State Geologist
The Conservator of Forests.

(2) A carriage and two horses in the case of all other officers whose salary† is not less than Rs 700; and in the case of Executive Engineers in charge of Divisions when drawing less than Rs 700/-.

(3) A carriage and one horse in the case of officers whose salary† is less than Rs 700 and is not less than Rs 500/-.

(4) A riding horse in the case of all other officers.

(5) In all the above cases a motor car may be substituted for a carriage and two horses, and a horse may be substituted for a carriage, also a bicycle may be allowed in addition in all cases.

Note 1. &c - The rest of the article may stand as at present.

It will be noted that the modification proposed above does not in any way affect

Add in both the cases
" or in the case of officiating officers the pay of whose appointments are not less than those officiated "

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

the existing orders, issued after full discussion, in regard to the circumstances under which conveyances may be transported by rail at Govt expense. The task is now proposed is to revise the scale with a view to remove existing anomalies.

Lc.
8/6/10

14. I shall be glad to know what Mr Balasundaram & the Members of the Home Board think of the above proposal Sd/ D Jones

15. The form of the article as revised in the above note of Mr Nathan appears to be suitable & may be adopted. It is more general & simple than the existing rule.

16. I would only suggest a slight addition in sub clauses 2 & 3 (b). Provide for officiating officers whose salary (pay + acting allce) may not ~~exceed~~ fall within the limits specified even though the pay of the appointment in which they officiate may lie within those limits. ~~No additions to be~~

After the words 'whose salary' in both the clauses, add "or in the case of officers holding acting

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S & A File No. 205 of 190

Serial No.

appointments, the pay of the appointment in which they officiate

This seems the correct

715 4 Aug 10

31.7.10

Dewan

17. I move for opinion & with his noting & the Council file

Spr the desirable to have this order copied Sanads commencing in Gujarati

Dl Lins 110

9 Aug 10

11/8/10

Bd

CSJ 1078/10

4/10/10

18. The question regarding Rs 240 p.m. has been before first in the past and once it was attempted to bring it into line with the British India rule and on another occasion to allow an Officer to take his Carriage Horse at State Cost and allowance of half Road Mileage as a quid pro quo There was no decision arrived at on either matter was referred to the Retrenchment Committee where it at present is.

19. In these circumstances it may be submitted to discuss the question whether an Officer wants his Carriage Horse for his use

Such a final decision was arrived at after discussion and ratified

The decision is not in accordance with my views but when the matter has been set at rest by a command of H.H. after full discussion, there is no prospect for reviving the discussion without fresh facts. The latest file on the subject is not linked with this file. GBR 2.15

Note—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

either ~~from~~ in the halting place or to proceed onwards both to another place. The hardship in only a one place that is the place of halt it does not seem necessary for the State bear the Cost Adriving. But upon account of there being no carriages available at the place of halt or the hire being prohibitive, and the Officer has to take his own carriage and horse, & which he has got a Claim to be reimbursed for the hire, but if he owned and his Carriage & horse in sufficient time to be at the halting Station it seems but fair that the Officer should drive it groad under Railway. ~~He proceeds to the Station~~

20. If on the other hand the Officer has got to proceed onwards in his journey, and he can certainly do the distance much quicker in his own hap than in the Adriving Country Carts and allowing him to take his Conveyance for will fair & there being a saving in an Officers time. Even in this Case if the Officer Owned have sent it groad he should do so prepared by.

21. Will there be seen that there will be Cases in which ~~the grant of~~ to allow Conveyance being taken as the Cost of Govt will be necessary, but it is a question whether Officers who are Executive Officers should do their work on horseback and whether a Carriage is absolutely necessary. If the decision

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—35,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

22. Whenever on strict administrative grounds it is deemed necessary to allow Carriages also then the scale of proposed hire would be suitable but they beg to submit that there are Officers of the Bth Commissioner rank who are attached to Provincial Offices and the Secretariat. These Officers by virtue of their places have to tour over the whole province or attend upon procurement and it seems unjust that these Officers should also enjoy the privilege of taking a horse & Carriage. Would therefore add to the list "Officers of the Bth Commissioner class who are duly required by them to travel all over the Province or serving in the district as asstt and under secys will be permitted to take a Carriage and horse or a motor Car.

23. We also most respectfully submitted that though these concessions are granted individually as hitherto for whether it would not be equitable in the case of the Officers so permitted to take his conveyance at full cost to give half daily allowances during halts, his bus fare and Road mileage remaining unaffected.

Fwd Secy) 18.8.10.

24. What is now under discussion is not the principle of Art. 540 but of rewriting the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

lists in clauses (1) to (4), bringing them upto-date & simplifying them if possible.

25. Mr Balasundaram's proposal attains the former object by making the lists complete according to our present requirements & cutting out obsolete items. But the rule as proposed by him is not simpler than the present one.

26. Mr Mathews aims at simplification but much of what he gains in clauses (2) to (4) lost by an unnecessary elaboration of clause (1). He advocates the principle of classification according to pay and standing, but pushes it only half way instead of to its logical limit & thus fails to take full advantage of the simplicity which the adoption of that principle may secure.

27. I would adopt the rule as proposed by Mr Mathews with the following substituted for clauses (1) to (5).

(1) In the case of officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appt exceeds Rs 1200/- — a carriage & four horses.

(2) In the case of officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appt exceeds Rs 700 — a carriage and two horses.

(3) In the case of all other officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appt exceeds Rs 200 — One horse.

(4) Same as Mr Mathew's clause (5).

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

P. nos 110.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

89a File No. 209 of 190

Serial No.

(5) Officers are expected to secure the most economical arrangements ~~a~~ possible under the railway rules for conveying horses & carriages and return tickets should be purchased whenever such a course is ~~not~~ desirable.

28 The object of the alterations made will be obvious. In the rule as it at present stands the "carriage and one horse" standard applies only to three officers. It is unnecessary to create a different standard for such a small number and the standard has therefore been done away with. In Mr. Mathew's draft also this standard applies only to a very limited class viz. those on Rs 500 to Rs 699 p.m.

29. If considered absolutely necessary the limit of Rs 700/- in clause (2), above maybe changed a little. but three classes would seem sufficient.

(Councillor)

M
20/8/10
25-8-10.

I am inclined to agree with the H. Secretary, except that I think a class of 1 Carriage & 1 horse may also be maintained for for officers whose pay exceeds 350 Rs (or 400 Rs)?

26/8/10

M
26/8/10

(Councillor)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

89a File No. 20 of 190

Serial No.

119

6.20
30.8.10

The proposals of the Financial Secretary appear reasonable and may be accepted except in regard to clause (3). viz a Carriage & one horse may be allowed for Officers whose pay exceeds Rs 450. This would include Senior Asstt Commissioners, Deputy Conservators of the 1st & 2nd Classes and so forth

Dewan
30/8/10

7656
1.9.10

cBz
31/8/10

31.8.10

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Submitted to His Highness.

These papers relate to a proposal to revise the scale of conveyances which officers of different classes are entitled, under Article 540, Mysore Service Regulations, to take by rail at Government expense under certain conditions.

2. The Officiating General and Revenue Secretary in his note dated the 3rd June 1910 has drawn attention to various anomalies in the scale as laid down in the existing Article 540 of the Regulations. He proposed to revise the scale by adding new names, omitting others, and generally making an exhaustive enumeration of the classes of officers to be brought under the Article, and the conveyances to which they should be held entitled.

3. With a view to simplify the classification, it was suggested that the scale of conveyances should be governed by the pay of the officers concerned, the classification proposed being as follows:-

(1) The Heads of the important departments -

A carriage and 4 horses.

(2)

(2) Officers whose pay is Rs.700 and upwards

A carriage and 2 horses.

(3) Officers whose pay is Rs.500 and upwards

A carriage and one horse.

(4) All others - One riding horse.

(5) In all these cases a motor car may be substituted for a carriage and 2 horses, and a horse may be substituted for a carriage; also a bicycle may be allowed in addition in all cases.

4. The Financial Secretary agrees to the principle of the scale being regulated by the pay of the appointment, but would make the rules simpler still by saying instead of (1) supra that in the case of officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointment exceeds Rs.1,200, the scale shall be one carriage and 4 horses. For the second class he would substitute "Officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointments exceeds Rs.700". He is for omitting the carriage and one horse class as the number of officers concerned (pay between Rs.500 and 699) is small. Further he would add a clause requiring officers to secure the most economical arrangements possible under the railway rules for conveying horses and carriages, such as taking return tickets.

5. Both the Councillors are of opinion that the Financial Secretary's proposals may be accepted, except

in regard to the omission of the carriage and one horse class which they would retain. The Second Councillor would bring into this class officers whose pay exceeds Rs.350 or 400, while the First Councillor thinks that officers whose pay exceeds Rs.450 may be brought into this class, so as to include Senior Assistant Commissioners, Deputy Conservators &c.

6. I agree with the Councillors and recommend that the opinion of the Financial Secretary may be accepted, except in regard to the omission of the carriage and one horse class. The number of officers who would be affected by the omission of this class is not so small as Mr. Chakravarti seems to think. Such a class already exists, and its omission would mean that officers like the Amrut Mahal Superintendent, the Census Superintendent, the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, the Muzrai Superintendent, Civil Surgeons, Senior Assistant Commissioners, Deputy Conservators of Forests &c., will be enabled to take only one horse. I would include in this class all officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointments exceeds Rs. 450, and also officers on the Dewan's personal staff.

7. The Financial Secretary's clause 5 is all right but it is already covered by the existing Note 3

to the article, and is therefore unnecessary.

8. The article as amended will read as in the annexed draft, which may be approved.

208.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Revision of the scale of conveyances which officers are entitled to take by rail at Government cost under certain conditions.

194.

Order.

Approved
KRW
13/9/10

Secy
Fin
15 Sept 10

Issue in Br II with adn.

Hy
19/9/10

19/9/10

19/9/10

Draft 16/9/10

Issue as a compendium to Mr. Hy

Draft Hy

Secretary to Government

208.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Revision of the scale of conveyances which officers are entitled to take by rail at Government cost under certain conditions.

184.

Order.

Approved
KRW
13/9/10

Secy
Fin
15 Sept 10

Issue in Br II with adn.

19/9/10

19/9/10

19/9/10

Issue as in enclosure

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Stt File No. 209 of 1910-11.

21

Serial No.	
	Submitted with a draft addendum.
	KS 21.9.10
	Please put up an order also [Is regularisation of submission with or draft proper?]
	22/9/10
	Submitted with a draft order + a separate draft addenda
	KS. 23/9/10
	23/9/10 Noted)
	Why is a separate draft necessary? The compendium alone is sufficient.
	24/9/10
	Issue compendium only
	Corrigenda under issue
	KS. 24/9/10
3	Slip no 2 & D 30.9.10 Issues a slip revising Art 5240 M-G-R.

I am not aware of any rule which lays down that the practice should be recorded in a regular manner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 28.]

Draft

File No. 20-b

Serial No. 3

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

~~Read~~ Corrigendum

Addendum

the 19

Page 187. Art. 540.

Date of issue_____

Signed by_____

Substitute the following ~~for~~ for the present article 540 of the Instr

~~Order thereon~~ No.

(Type here as in the Dewan draft for approval dtd 3.9.

By order,

for Secy.

over

Ks.

SUBJECT. 21.9.60

GPB—10,000—9-12-09

21.9.60

Addenda and Corrigenda to the RSR 2nd Edn

art. 520.

DRAFT AMENDED ARTICLE.

Substitute the following for the present art 540 of the RSR

540. Subject to the general or special sanction of his official superior, an officer, having to travel by railway over a distance of not less than 20 miles in a single journey and sending the conveyance by railway required for his bonafide use at the end of such journey, shall be entitled, in addition to his ordinary allowance under Article 544, the actual expenses of sending such conveyance.

"Conveyance" in this Article shall mean carriages, Motor cars, and bicycles or horses not exceeding the scale given below:-

(1) In the case of officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointment exceeds Rs.1,200/- - a carriage and four horses.

above 1200 1 carriage 4 horses

(2) In the case of officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointment exceeds Rs.700/- - a carriage and two horses.

700 - 1200 1 carriage 2 horses

(3) In the case of officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointment exceeds Rs.450/-, and in the case of officers

450 - 700 1 carriage 1 horse

on the Dewan's personal staff - a carriage and one horse.

(4) In the case of all other officers whose pay or the maximum pay of whose appointment exceeds Rs.200/- - one horse.

(5) In all the above cases, a motor car may be substituted for a carriage and two horses, and a horse may be substituted for a carriage; also, a bicycle may be allowed in addition in all cases.

Note.- 1 - An officer on receipt of a permanent monthly travelling allowance is not entitled to the privilege of this article.

Note 2 - The sanction of the official superior under this article shall be given only in the interests of the Public Service, and when given should be at once communicated to the Government in the Department concerned.

Exception 1 - Such of the officers in the service of the State as proceed on invitation to Mysore on the occasions of festivities connected with the Dasara and the

Birthday of His Highness the Maharaja may take the conveyances to which they are entitled by rail at Government expense and even though in receipt of a permanent monthly travelling allowance.

Exception 2 - District and Sessions Judges may take conveyances, to which they are entitled, by rail at Government cost when they go out to hold Sessions.

Note 3 - An officer having to send conveyance by rail, must avail himself of the concessions, if any, granted by the Railway Department, whenever a return journey is contemplated within the period of time provided by the Railway Rules under which it is conveyed from one station to another and back on payment of a single fare and a half.

(3rd Edition no. 29 dt 30.9.10)